

AGENDA FOR

WHITEFIELD AND UNSWORTH TOWNSHIP FORUM

Contact: Andrew Woods
Direct Line: 0161 253 5134
E-mail: a.p.woods@bury.gov.uk
Web Site: www.bury.gov.uk

**To: All Members of Whitefield and Unsworth Township
Forum**

Councillors : P Adams, R Caserta, E Fitzgerald (Chair),
J Grimshaw, D Jones, O Kersh, J Mallon, A Matthews and
Whitby

Dear Member

Whitefield and Unsworth Township Forum

You are invited to attend a meeting of the Whitefield and Unsworth
Township Forum which will be held as follows:-

Date:	Tuesday, 14 March 2017
Place:	Elms Community Centre, Green Lane, Whitefield
Time:	6.30 pm
Briefing Facilities:	If Opposition Members and Co-opted Members require briefing on any particular item on the Agenda, the appropriate Director/Senior Officer originating the related report should be contacted.
Notes:	

AGENDA

1 APOLOGIES

2 DECLARATIONS OF INTEREST

Members of Whitefield and Unsworth Township Forum are asked to consider whether they have an interest in any of the matters on the Agenda and, if so, to formally declare that interest.

3 MINUTES (*Pages 1 - 18*)

To approve as a correct record the minutes of the meeting held on 17 January 2017. Details of issues raised are attached.

4 POLICE UPDATE

To receive an update on Police related matters in Whitefield and Unsworth.

5 PRESENTATION - PHASE 2 OF THE LIBRARY REVIEW

The presentation will provide an update on the progress of the Library Review and options out for public consultation.

6 UPDATES:

To receive updates on issues raised relating to: Whitefield - Health Centre Development (Bury CCG), Uplands, Former Town Hall, Hickory Grange, Porada, Pilsworth, Park66 and Boz Park.

7 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

Questions are invited from members of the public present at the meeting about the work or performance of the Council or the Council's services relevant to the Whitefield and Unsworth area.

8 FUNDING REPORT (*Pages 19 - 26*)

9 URGENT BUSINESS

Any other business which, by reason of special circumstances, the Chair agrees may be considered as a matter of urgency.

Minutes of:	WHITEFIELD AND UNSWORTH TOWNSHIP FORUM
Date of Meeting:	17 January 2017
Venue:	Elms Community Centre, Green Lane, Whitefield
Present:	Councillor J Mallon (In the Chair), Councillors P Adams, B Caserta, O Kersh, A Matthews and M Whitby
Advisory Group Representatives:	Pamela Taylor - Hollins Village Community Assn Yvonne Moore - Old Hall Park Residents Assn Leonard Lott – Whitefield and Unsworth Homewatch Marlene Dawson – Elms Estate TRA Dawn Schofield - Hillock and Oakgate Residents Assn Mrs S Bannister - Manchester Jewish Representative Council
Public attendance:	42 members of the public were in attendance
Apologies for Absence:	Councillors E FitzGerald, J Grimshaw, D Jones, and Inspector Paterson (GMP)

WUTF.471 CHAIR

Councillor Mallon chaired the meeting in the absence of Councillor Fitzgerald.

WUTF.472 DECLARATIONS OF INTERESTS

There were no declarations of interests made at the meeting

WUTF.473 MINUTES

The minutes of the meeting held on 20 September 2016 were submitted.

Delegated decision:

That minutes of the meeting held on 20 September 2016 were approved as a correct record.

WUTF.474 POLICE UPDATE

The meeting was attended by PCSO Calvert (GMP) who provided an update on the local crime statistics for the period October 2016-January 2017.

The following statistics were given:

Business robberies: 1

Burglaries: 45

Theft from a person: 5

Whitefield and Unsworth Township Forum 17 January 2017

PCSO Calvert reported that thefts from motor vehicles were in many cases due to vehicles be left unlocked.

Residents were reminded to ensure that their vehicle and property were properly secured at all times.

With reference to the burglaries that had taken place, the forum was informed that officers had investigated thefts and had identified three members of the same family. The three individuals were subsequently charged. One had received a 12-16 month prison sentence. One was currently on bail but was due to attend court in the near future. The remaining person was currently serving a sentence for a separate crime.

The Township Forum was informed of operations being conducted by the Police in Whitefield. One operation had targeted a supermarket where there had been a number of handbag thefts. Residents were advised to ensure that their handbag was closed and not left on top of the shopping trolley. Another operation had targeted illegal parking outside schools and had resulted in five tickets being issued outside Higher Lane Primary School. Other schools would be visited. Speed operations had been taking place on various roads in the area to take action on speeding vehicles. Licensing visits were also taking place to various premises across the area and high visibility patrols were taking place on the Metrolink.

The meeting was informed that Whitefield Police Station, which was due to shut to public in February 2017, had been closed (to the public) in November 2016. Members of the pubic attending the police station would be directed towards a telephone that would connect them to a police landline. Instructions would be displayed on how to use the telephone.

WUTF.475 BURY COUNCIL BUDGET ROADSHOW

Councillor Rishi Shori, the Leader of the Council and Deputy Executive of Resources and Regulation Steve Kenyon attended the meeting as part of the Council's budget process to consult residents on the budget options for the next three years. As part of a new strategy the Council would produce a three year budget approach to 2020, instead of the usual fiscal year plan.

It was explained that the main objectives of proposals were to lead, shape and maintain a prosperous Bury that was fit for the future.

Councillor Shori reported that Bury was a popular place to live and the town centre was the third most popular in Greater Manchester after the Trafford Centre and Manchester City Centre. Bury Council was the biggest employer within the borough as well as the biggest provider of services in the town with a £448 million turnover.

Steve Kenyon explained how the Council received funding and reminded residents that there had been a reduction of £65 million in Government funding since 2010 with a further £32 million to be cut up to 2020.

Whitefield and Unsworth Township Forum 17 January 2017

The point was made that if Bury Council had been funded on a per head basis to the same level nationally it would have an extra £9 million and if it had been funded per head to the same level as Greater Manchester it would have received £18 million extra.

Details were given on how the Council spends its money:-

- | | |
|-------------------------|---------------|
| • Adult Social Care | £46.8 million |
| • Looked after Children | £10.6 million |
| • Waste Disposal | £12.5 million |
| • Highways | £6.4 million |
| • Refuse Collection | £4.0 million |
| • Libraries | £2.4 million |
| • Parks & Open Spaces | £2.2 million |
| • Sports & Leisure | £1.2 million |

The meeting was also informed that there were other demands on Council services resulting from a population living longer but needing added support in later life. In addition there were other unknown variables to consider such as inflation, rises in energy prices and other levies. The Council was constantly looking for efficiency savings and were planning to transform services across the three Council Departments:-

Resource and Regulation – Proposed cut of £7.3m

Children, Young People and Culture – Proposed cut of £7.7m

Communities and Wellbeing – Proposed cut of £17.7m

- Resource and Regulation – proposed cuts of £7.3 million in areas including, reconfiguring back office services, collaborative working with other public bodies, continued rationalisation of Council property, income generation, Highways asset management, Treasury Management/Investment activity.
- Children, Young People and Culture – proposed cuts of £7.7 million in areas such as Development of Early Years provision and the Fostering and Looked After Children Service, Service reviews, Management restructure and changes to School Funding Regimes.
- Communities and Wellbeing – proposed cuts of £17 million in areas such as reviewing Operational and Leisure and Wellbeing Services, focusing on bringing together Health and Social Care Services and Joint Commissioning and to review the Organisational and Transport arrangements.

It was explained that the Council would continue to deliver statutory services but would also have aspirations to tackle inequalities and support life chances. The Council could not raise the Council Tax by more than 2% and the Social Care Precept by more than 3%.

The Chair invited questions and comments.

Whitefield and Unsworth Township Forum 17 January 2017

Mr Marshall asked would the Planning Service remain self funding after the next budget. Councillor Shori confirmed that it would.

Mr Marshall asked if the additional housing numbers included in the GM Spatial Framework proposals would have a direct impact on Council Tax income.

Councillor Shori stated that there would be no impact on Council Tax. The incoming GM Mayor would be required to make a decision on how the final version of the GM Spatial Framework would look. Bury Council was very proactive on the development of brownfield sites in the borough. The GM Spatial Framework would not have any impact on development in Bury Council until 2021.

Reference was made to the centralisation of services and the Leader of the Council was asked not to centralise the township forums. The meetings were valued and well attended. It was explained that the township forums would not be centralised.

A member of the public asked for an update on proposals for the development of a leisure centre in Radcliffe. It was reported that the Council was committed to the project however there were issues regarding the demolition of the existing building due to asbestos being present in the fabric of the building. In addition funding a new centre would be difficult due to the current financial climate.

The Leader of the Council was asked if the Council had the capability to deal effectively with the damage as a result of future flooding in view of the 2015 floods.

Councillor Shori reported that the Council had a reserve available for use in emergencies such as the 2015 floods. He informed the meeting that the Council was still waiting for £1.3 million repayment from the government for money spent on emergency works required last year. An additional request had been made to the government for new flood defences throughout the borough.

Reference was made to the dividend payout to the Council received for its shares in Manchester Airport and how much this would be. Steve Kenyon reported that the dividend would be in the region of £2.9 million and this had been included in the budget calculations for 2016/17.

It was agreed:

That Councillor Shori and Steve Kenyon be thanked for their attendance at the meeting and presentation.

WUTF.476 UPDATES

Hickory Grange - Councillor Kersh referred to a previous update and reported that it was anticipated that work on the site could be finished by December 2017. An area of the site would be chosen to show to potential developers.

Pilsworth development - Councillor Adams informed the meeting that plans had been submitted for the Pilsworth regeneration. The plans were not online due to their amendment but may be available in the next few days.

Porada - Mr Marshall referred to the planning Porada car park was supposed to go before a Planning Control Committee but there had been no plans submitted as of yet.

WUTF.477 TOWN PLAN UPDATE

It was reported that a date for an additional meeting about the Township Forum had not been set to look at the Town Plan.

WUTF.478 PERSONA – STAKEHOLDER FORUM

The Township Forum received a presentation from Eddie Chung (Persona) on a proposal to develop the Persona Stakeholder Forum. Persona Care and Support wants those interested to help contribute their ideas to develop social care provision in Bury. Persona Care and Support was established 12 months ago as a Local Authority trading company for Bury's Social Care provision. Demand for its services had continued to grow and Persona wanted to utilise the feedback it receives from current service users and from members of the local community in order to develop future service provision. This feedback will help the company be more responsive to the changing social care needs of the local community. The meeting was informed of the ways to get involved; expectations on member involvement and on what to do next if you are interested in joining the Stakeholder Forum.

Persona Support and Care is an arms-length Local Authority Trading Company providing Social Care to older people and adults with learning difficulties and operates across a range of community settings including day and residential care centres.

The purpose of Persona was the delivery of high quality services, working with local communities and key partners to think creatively and innovatively, listen to what people want and allow them to have to say, respond to the needs of the local community and keep in touch with all Partners and communities.

Persona would like to hear comments and suggestions and there are various ways to get in touch, such as face to face with meetings, workshops and

Whitefield and Unsworth Township Forum 17 January 2017

events; Facebook and Twitter or via email, telephone and surveys. People can contact Persona in the following ways:

- Telephone 0161 253 6000
- Website – www.personasupport.org
- Email – info@personasupport.org

It was agreed:

1. That Eddie Chueng be thanked for his attendance and presentation.
2. That Eddie Chueng or Persona representative be invited to a future meeting of the Township Forum to provide more information on the services provided by Persona, how to engage with Persona and the cost involved.

WUTF.479 PUBLIC QUESTION TIME

The Chair was request to provide an update on the amalgamation of the car parks at Aldi Supermarket and McDonalds restaurant in Whitefield.

The meeting was informed that progress was being made and regular meetings were ongoing.

Reference was made to the need for more waist level bins along Bury New Road to help deal with the level of litter in the area. If the Council could help provide them it may be possible for volunteers to clear them.

A resident of Elm Road reported that there was an ongoing problem concerning speeding cars. It was noted that there was a proposal for speed humps from Mather Road. The suggestion was made that a one way system Mather Road/Morton Avenue be introduced. Parking access for the Morrisons supermarket would not be affected and humps wouldn't be required providing a cheaper solution for the Council. Investment was needed to improve the condition of the road.

It was reported that people were regularly fly-tipping on land on Philips Park Road West and Ringley Road. Could the Council take action. It was reported that the Council and Police were aware of this problem and investigations had taken place to check the refuse dumped to identify an address or individual.

If there is refuse and items dumped in an area, residents were directed to the 'Report a Problem' page on the Council website.

A member of the public pointed out that the double yellow lines on Moss Lane had made the traffic worse and asked for the decision to be reviewed. Councillor Mallon reported that he understood that the yellow lines were working as they had been designed to.

Reference was made to a section of the walkway in the park at Albert Road and Thatch Leach Lane that would flood after rain due to its location in a

depression. The resident requested action be taken to improve drainage to divert water away from the footpath to ensure the path was usable in bad weather.

It was reported that the Council offered to look into the matter further.

The Township Forum was asked why there were painted bicycles located along Park Lane. Officers would look in to the issue and report back.

Pam Taylor address the meeting regarding the GM Spatial Framework and the impact on Hollins Village and Parr Lane. It was important for residents to be aware of the potential impact of the Greater Manchester Spatial Framework (GMSF). It had been noted that an application for development had been submitted to Rochdale BMC that involved the building of 2,000 houses at on the Bury (Pilsworth) border. It appeared that none of the houses included in the design plans would be classed as affordable homes. The likely result of such a substantial development on Bury's border would mean a potential 2,000 additional cars on the Bury roads. This would have a knock on effect of increasing congestion in particular around Junction 19 of the M66. Combined with the development proposal for Park66 the traffic numbers will create bigger problems for our area with a potentially devastating impact.

The meeting was informed that Phase 2 of the GMSF consultation would begin in October 2017 and the decision on the GMSF would be made by the newly GM Mayor.

Officers were requested to take action regarding three large trucks that were being regularly parked on Stanley Road behind each other on one side of the road and making the road dangerous for other road users trying to pass.

It was reported that there were tree roots pushing through the pavement on Elms Road and causing a tripping hazard to pedestrians. Could action be taken to address this.

The meeting was asked if refreshments could provided at meetings for the public.

Councillor Adams stated that water could be provided of a hot drink if a contribution was made to the service cost. The Chair made the point that the Elms Community Centre was being run by local councillors to ensure that the facility was kept open for the benefit of local residents and groups.

WUTF.480 FUNDING REPORT UPDATE

Ruth Shedwick notified the forum that there was £5250 available in single wards for community groups to apply for and £1000 available for cross ward applications. Community groups could apply for up to £500 each.

Whitefield and Unsworth Township Forum 17 January 2017

Councillor Caserta requested that the font on the funding form be reduced to provide more space to explain the reasons why a request for funding had been declined rather than just stating 'declined'.

WUTF.481 DATE OF NEXT MEETING

The date of the next meeting was set at 14 March 2017 at 18:30

COUNCILLOR J Mallon
In The Chair

(Note: The meeting started at 1:00pm and ended at 3:30pm.)

Whitefield & Unsworth Township Forum: Issues raised 17/01/17

	Raised by	Item Raised at Open Forum	Action by	Action
1	Resident	Would it be possible to have refreshments (tea/coffee) for the residents at the Forum meetings? We are willing to pay for them	Elms Community Centre Committee	The matter of refreshment was discussed at the Management Meeting of 6 February 2017. It was agreed that jugs of water and glasses would be provided but that we neither have the funds or personnel to be able to provide and supervise a hot drink. Cllr Paul Adams
2	Resident	Would it be possible to check conditions of microphones	Elms Community Centre Committee	Cllr Adams has contacted a company to look into the PA system. Ruth Shedwick Township Coordinator
3	Resident	What is the progress with Aldi/McDonalds meeting to discuss the car park?	Cllr Mallon	Meetings have been held with Aldi/McDonalds and there are ongoing conversations. Issues will be addressed. Cllr Mallon
4	Resident	Elm Road has become a race track. There is a proposal for speed humps from Mather Road. Suggest one way system Mather Road/Morton Avenue – parking for Morrisons won't be stopped and humps not needed which is a cheaper solution for the Council. The condition of the road however is poor.		20mph limit has been suspended at present. Will speak to resident after Forum. Cllr Adams Elms Road was originally included in the 20mph StreetSafe initiative and would have featured some physical measures proposed for this road. This initiative, however, has been abandoned so these proposals will now not go ahead. With respect to one way systems, unless they are introduced over short lengths of road, experience has shown that they usually increase vehicular speeds (due to

				<p>the knowledge that there will be no possible conflict with oncoming traffic), cause significant severance for an area or just cause problems to migrate elsewhere on the network. Greater Manchester Police would also be unlikely to support such a proposal if it requires excessive enforcement to make it work and there is no funding available to undertake any physical measures (if they could even be incorporated to assist in reducing contraventions). Furthermore, the accident statistics for Elms Road over the last 3 years (the period over which they are taken into account) show that there have been zero reports submitted to the Transport for Greater Manchester database that all GM Authorities and the Police use (it is actually populated by data gathered by GM Police as part of their attendance at sites of accidents).</p> <p>Speeding is a matter for the Police and they are responsible for ad hoc mobile enforcement in the borough. If you wish to enquire about having some ad hoc speed enforcement undertaken on the roads of concern then you can contact them on 101 to express your concerns or alternatively you can contact your Neighbourhood Policing team on 0161 856 8204 (Whitefield Police Station) or at buryssouth@gmp.police.uk. Whether they will be undertake any enforcement on these roads will depend on if they can set up their enforcement equipment safely at a site on these lengths of road and whether they have staff resources available to undertake such enforcement.</p> <p>Additionally, The Greater Manchester Casualty Reduction Partnership (Drivesafe) can also provide speed enforcement at mobile locations throughout the borough. If you feel that Elms Road should be considered as a 'community concern site' for mobile enforcement then I</p>
--	--	--	--	--

				<p>would advise you to access the Drivesafe website at Drivesafe.org.uk. There is a section on the website where you can contact the organisation to request information or request that a particular road be considered for mobile enforcement. The Drivesafe team will then investigate to see if the roads could be considered as 'community concern' enforcement sites.</p> <p>David Giblin Head of Engineering</p>
5	Tony Scott-Norman (York Street Home Watch)	<p>Major problem of street litter along Bury New Road. A lot of other Councils use waist level street bins on lampposts.</p> <p>We would be prepared to approach businesses to sponsor the bins and empty the bins as volunteers.</p>	Street cleaning	<p>A fantastic idea, once installed we at cleansing would empty the bins on a regular basis or when needed.</p> <p>If any residents would like to get involved in litter-picking their local area, either individually or has a group, we will supply litter-pickers bags and latex gloves and will also pick up the full bags at a location of their choice, all we ask is not to litter-pick busy main Roads.</p> <p>Cost of bins would be somewhere between £70 - £100. Contact if require further information.</p> <p>Pete Burns Senior Supervisor</p> <p>P.Burns@bury.gov.uk (0161 253 7454)</p>
6	Patrick	<p>Commend the Council on new local of litter bins which are being used in the Besses area. They are filled quickly and do overflow.</p> <p>There is a green area with bench at the Aldi junction. Have taken a photo before the Forum which is dominated by cans, beer, cider and is populated late into the evening.</p>	<p>GMP/Residents</p> <p>All to note</p>	<p>We have worked with Home Watch Scheme and done litter picks with groups in the area. Happy to support</p> <p>PCSO Andy</p> <p>If you find pockets of areas that need litter picking, or any other problem within an area, please go to the Council's online report a problem page www.bury.gov.uk/reportaproblem</p> <p>Cllr Mallon</p>

		Regularly go and clean up as a volunteer. Is there any way this area can be tracked? Maybe Police patrol?		
7	Resident	Flytipping issue at Philips Park Road West along Ringley Road (mattresses/bin bags etc.)	Environmental Health	<p>The fly tipping issue is known in this area. Have found that non residents are fly tipping. It is a cost to the Council, but looking at resolutions going forward. Inform the police/councilors and log the problem at www.bury.gov.uk/reportaproblem</p> <p>Cllr Mallon</p> <p>We obtained the name and address of one fly tipping perpetrator we found in the rubbish and they were prosecuted. They were not from the Bury area.</p> <p>PCSO Andy</p> <p>The rubbish is on private land so the only person who can legally remove it is the land owner.</p> <p>The small amount of waste, located in a rural area, away from any other property, does not constitute a statutory nuisance and the council will therefore not take enforcement action. In the past we may have checked ownership and written to the land owner asking them to remove the waste but we can no longer justify the time and cost involved when our role is enforcement.</p> <p>The resident could try to find out which farm the land belongs to and approach the farmer asking them to remove it. The farmer probably does not know that the waste is there.</p> <p>Ron Dean Environmental Health Officer</p>
8	Sharon Bannister	Moss Lane double lines created more of a problem with people double parking. Can this be reviewed?	Highways Network	<p>Prior to extending these restrictions we had the situation of parking causing visibility problems at the Metrolink bridge which was on a bend and the brow of a hill.</p>

				<p>Although some of the parking has displaced further along Moss Lane, the yellow lines do not terminate at the same point on both sides of the road and were deliberately staggered to avoid double parking.</p> <p>If they are extended further though there is the possibility that the parking will displace towards the junction of Park 17 and Furness Avenue and this is likely to cause problems for drivers turning out of these side roads.</p> <p>Although, the parking situation at present can restrict the width of the carriageway, drivers can see the problem ahead of them and amend their behaviour to meet the conditions.</p> <p>In view of the above, there are no plans to introduce further restrictions at this location.</p> <p>Jacquie Wright Group Leader, Highway Network Management</p>
9	Len Lott	<p>There are more restaurants and businesses and nowhere to park – we have double yellow lines where we don't need them. Is it possible to relax the enforcement of double yellow lines in the centre of Whitefield near the restaurants post 6pm?</p> <p>Request that the double yellow line parking restrictions on Bury New Road, Whitefield, between Elms Street and Moss Lane junctions be officially suspended from 7pm in the evening for a period of say six hours. From Monday to Friday this action would in fact coincide with the lifting of the bus lane restriction.</p> <p>The lifting of the restriction could be</p>	Highways Network	<p>Unfortunately we cannot agree to this request. Double yellow lines are introduced to ensure that the flow of traffic is not impeded (a requirement of the Traffic Management Act) and for Road Safety reasons.</p> <p>Although the times suggested would coincide with the northbound bus lane not being in operation, there are also double yellow lines throughout the bus lane to prevent parking. Consequently, when the bus lane is not in operation traffic can use all lanes, whereas if we permit parking to take place we would lose a running lane.</p> <p>The section of Bury New Road between Stanley Road and the access to Slattery's car park is not marked out as two lanes as the road is not wide enough to accommodate two large vehicle but cars do and can form two lanes. Therefore if the yellow lines on this stretch were to be relaxed once again we would lose capacity as all traffic</p>

		<p>considered for either one or both sides of Bury New Road.</p> <p>This action would greatly reduce the present parking availability problem in our very desirable central restaurant area which has developed over the past couple of years.</p>		<p>would have to flow in a single lane.</p> <p>Although I appreciate that after evening peak the traffic flows would be reduced, Bury New Road is a major road (A56) and carries a significant amount of traffic 24 hours a day and is not therefore a road where we would wish to promote on street parking for the restaurants which I am sure took the parking situation into consideration when establishing their businesses.</p> <p>Jacquie Wright Group Leader, Highway Network Management</p>
10	Resident	I have used the Park at Albert Road, Thatcher Lane and after it has rained it floods at the dip. Could the Council look at the drainage? Have to wait several days for the issue to be sorted naturally.		<p>The drain has recently been cleared out. Will discuss with resident after Forum</p> <p>Cllr Whitby</p>
11	Residents	What is the significance of the bikes painted on Park Lane?	Highways	<p>The 1057 cycle surface markings have been introduced on Park Lane to help identify the recognised on road 'quiet cycle route' which runs along Park Lane after the route leaves Philips Park near the motorway.</p> <p>These markings have been paid for by TfGM as part of a contribution to the Streetsafe initiative where identified 'quiet cycle routes' run through areas where 'Streetsafe' schemes have been promoted.</p> <p>Ken Asquith Area Traffic Engineer</p>
12	Pam Taylor	Want people to be aware of the impact of the Greater Manchester Spatial Framework (GMSF) will have on local people. Before Christmas Rochdale submitted application form to build 2,000 houses at the Pilsworth border.		<p>GMSF Phase 1 deadline was yesterday (16 Jan) and now closed to further comment at this time.</p> <p>Phase 2 consultation is scheduled to begin in October 2017 with more detail on possible sites. Hopefully will know by then what Rochdale are planning. Everyone will</p>

		None of these are to be affordable houses. This means probably 2,000 additional cars on the road. The likelihood of increased congestion especially at Junction 19. Combine this with the Park66 proposal and it will create bigger problems. We have submitted comments.	Planning Policy	<p>have the opportunity to submit further additional comments at this time into Phase 2 of the consultation process.</p> <p>Cllr Mallon</p> <p>All planning applications (and the GMSF sites) will take full consideration of transport issues.</p> <p>Cris Logue Head of Service (Strategic Planning and Economic Development)</p>
13	Resident	Not a lot of residents knew about GMSF. Would it have been possible for the Council to leaflet all residents?	Planning Policy	<p>One of the objections discussed is that Council's haven't made enough people aware of the GMSF consultation. This would have been raised by many during the consultation process.</p> <p>Cllr Kersh</p> <p>This is a known problem. Cllr Shori attended a meeting and was reported on in local press. There will be a leaflet going out to all residents.</p> <p>GMSF is still in consultation phase. You still have opportunity to engage in Phase 2. Suggest residents get together to discuss in groups and send in comments on the consequences and perceived risks.</p> <p>Cllr Mallon</p> <p>The consultation was carried out in full accordance of Bury's Statement of Community Involvement. But additional work will be done in future consultation rounds.</p> <p>Cris Logue Head of Service (Strategic Planning and Economic Development)</p>
14	Resident	Could there be a radio announcement regarding the GMSF so that more	Planning Policy	<p>The GMSF was discussed in various media – including TV and Radio e.g. the Leader did an interview for BBC</p>

		residents can be informed about this?		Manchester Cris Logue Head of Service (Strategic Planning and Economic Development)
15	Tony Scott-Norman (York Street Home Watch)	The consultation was posted all over the internet. There is no way in this climate the Council can leaflet every house, it's too expensive. Why are some political parties going against GMSF?		There will be people who don't keep up to date. There were Council meetings and press articles. Incredible amount of opposition and if had enough time to be consulted. The Government is asking LA's how many homes between GM wide split into LA's and a call for sites on green belt. Initiatives thereafter are down to GM and the LA's within them. Cllr Kersh
16	Pam Taylor	The community was involved in the original plans which replaced the old Unitary Development Plan (Spatial Framework). Now that it is at GM level local feeling is that it is out of our hands		In 2013/2014 Bury submitted a previous Core Strategy which was rejected by government on the projected housing needs. Government target is 12,250 new homes required in Bury. Council position is to prioritise brownfield sites. It is a 20/25 year plan. Should Bury refuse to work to the final agreed plan, the Council will put in place special measures and Government will have a say on what happens. I strongly encourage you to engage in Phase 2. Cllr Mallon
17	Resident	The roots of all trees in Elms Road area are coming up through the pavement. On high winds the roots are moving.	Trees	Trees are regularly monitored. Please speak with your local Councillor and/or report the problem online at www.bury.gov.uk/reportaproblem Cllr Mallon Les Beardwood notified 23/01 Ruth Shedwick Township Coordinator

18	Cllr Caserta	Please could the font on the funding form be reduced which states 'declined' and have a little more information so the public are aware why it was declined.	Ruth Shedwick	I will liaise with the Business Support officer Ruth Shedwick Township Coordinator, Prestwich Whitefield & Unsworth
----	--------------	--	---------------	---

Ruth Shedwick, Township Coordinator
7 February 2017

This page is intentionally left blank



Bury Council Township Forum Report for March 2017

The Council has allocated £8,000 to each Township Forum to be allocated through a sub group of the Township forum four times a year in June/July, September, January and March. A maximum of £500 individual grant is available for formally constituted organisations from a Township.

Additionally, a borough-wide allocation of £8,000 is available for "cross-township" applications for activity that takes place across more than one Township. These applications are determined by the Council's Small Grants Panel.

Organisations applying for funding should be running projects which address at least one of the following priorities or one of those detailed in the Township plans:

- Improved employment opportunities
- Improved Community and Safety
- Improved Health and Well being
- Learning Opportunities for local residents
- Community Cohesion, Community Pride and belonging
- Environmental improvements

This report details the applications received for the past three rounds of funding for financial year 1st April 2016 – January 2017.

This will be the final round of meetings for this financial year the approved applications for March will be included in the year end final report.

Bury East

Group	Details	Amount requested	Amount allocated	Date approved
Thursday Group (parents group)	Hire of room/contribution to trip	£ 500.00	£ 400.00	30/06/16
The Women's Aid Group	Contribution to Crèche fees	£ 500.00	£ 400.00	30/06/16
B'easties Youth Club	Provide hot meals for socially isolated	£ 500.00	£ 400.00	30/06/16
Openshaw Veterans Bowling Club	Maintenance & Veterans Bowling Club	£ 500.00	£ 400.00	30/06/16
New Springs Community Project	Community Awards joint initiative	£ 500.00	£ 400.00	30/06/16
Attic Project	Christmas Lunch for isolated people	£ 320.00	£ 320.00	08/09/16
Seedfield TRA	Supplies for cake decorating class	£ 280.00	£ 280.00	08/09/16
Bury Asian Women's		£ 500.00	Deferred for information	At 21/01/17
Walshaw Park Wasp FC	Equipment	£ 500.00	£ 500.00	21/01/17
Chesham Fold TRA	Printing Cost & Utilities	£ 500.00	Deferred for information	At 21/01/17
		£ 4600.00	£ 3100.00	
		Available	£4900.00	

Bury West

Group	Details	Amount requested	Amount allocated	Date approved
All Saints Amateur Operatic Society	Update gents toilets and kitchen	£ 500.00	£ 500.00	07/07/16
Fusiliers Court Residents Assoc.	Improvements to Close & Celebration	£ 500.00	£ 500.00	07/07/16
		£ 1000.00	£ 1000.00	
		Available	£7000.00	

Prestwich

Group	Details	Amount requested	Amount allocated	Date approved
Big Knit	Wool and labels	£ 500.00	£ 500.00	30/06/16
Azamrah Youth Club	Summer Play scheme	£ 500.00	£ 500.00	30/06/16
Prestwich & Whitefield Heritage	Maintenance of flower beds	£ 500.00	£ 500.00	12/01/2017
		£ 1,500.00	£ 1,500.00	
		Available	£6,500.00	

Radcliffe

Group	Details	Amount requested	Amount allocated	Date approved
Abbey Court Residents Assoc	Raised Beds for vegetables	£ 500.00	£ 500.00	05/07/16
East Lancashire Paper Mill Cricket	Family Fun Day & raise awareness of club	£ 500.00	£ 500.00	05/07/16
Friends of Radcliffe Manor	Promoting Radcliffe tower	£500.00	£ 500.00	13/09/16
ROC	Purchase equipment for Cinema Club	£500.00	£ 500.00	13/09/16
Radcliffe Business Group	Maintenance of Radcliffe Lights	£ 500.00	£ 500.00	10/01/2017
		£ 2,500.00	£ 2,500.00	
		Available	£5,500.00	

Ramsbottom Tottington and North Manor

Group	Details	Amount requested	Amount allocated	Date approved
Greenmount Village Community	Create a cafe for people with Dementia	£ 500.00	£ 400.00	06/07/16
St Marys School, Hawkshaw	Gardening equipment for children	£ 500.00	£ 400.00	06/07/16
Tottington Holiday Play scheme	Summer holiday activities	£ 500.00	£ 400.00	06/07/16
Rotary Club of Tott & Bury West	Improvements to St Johns Graveyard	£ 500.00	£ 400.00	06/07/16
Brambles Residents Association	Kitchen equipment & Implement Bingo	£ 500.00	£ 400.00	06/07/16
Hewlett Court	Comm event celebrate 300yrs free Masons	£ 500.00	No priorities met	
Friends of Nuttall Park	Fencing to protect wildflower patch	£ 485.00	£ 485.00	13/09/16
Ramsbottom Running Club	Branded Gazebo	£ 475.00	£ 475.00	10/01/2017
Greenmount Village Pre School	Structured physical activities	£ 500.00	£ 500.00	10/01/2017
Bury Rural Inequalities Forum	Equipment for maintenance	£ 500.00	£ 500.00	10/01/2017
Tottington Park Bowling & Social	updating kitchen and appliance	£ 500.00	£ 500.00	later date
		£ 5,460.00	£ 4,460.00	
		Available	£3540.00	

Whitefield and Unsworth

Group	Details	Amount requested	Amount allocated	Date approved
Eden Garden Allotments	Summer open day	£ 500.00	£ 500.00	05/07/16
Elms Community Centre	Elms in Bloom Competition	£ 500.00	£ 500.00	05/07/16
Whitefield Graveyard Comm Grp	Improve pathways	£ 500.00	£ 500.00	05/07/16
Elms Community TRA	Maintenance of green house & tools	£ 490.00	£ 490.00	20/09/16
Hollins Village Bowling Club	Maintenance items & stationary	£ 485.00	£ 485.00	20/09/16
Nipper Lane Allotment Assoc	fencing to make pond safe	£ 500.00	Declined as pond was going to be filled in at a later date	
Besses o'th Barn United Reform Church	Signage & information boards	£ 500.00	£500.00	17/01/17
		£ 3520.00	£ 2975.00	
		Available	£5025.00	

Cross Township

Group	Details	Amount requested	Amount allocated	Date approved
Friends of Bury Young Carers	Activities	£ 750.00	£ 750.00	28/06/16
The Urban Cycle Centre CIC	Setting up workshop facility @ Phillips Park	£ 750.00	Didn't meet priorities. Wanted further info	Invited to re apply
The Eagles Wing	Beginners English Group	£ 750.00	£ 750.00	28/06/16
Little Britain Anglers	HD Camera's x 2	£ 370.00	£ 370.00	28/06/16
Prestwich Carnival Committee	Hire of stage for Carnival	£ 750.00	£ 750.00	22/06/16
Langley Allotments	Provide disabled access to communal area	£ 750.00	£ 750.00	28/06/16
Bury East Sports Association	Annual party in the park	£ 750.00	£ 400.00	28/06/16
Women of Worth	Various running cost & Volunteer travel	£ 750.00	£ 750.00	28/06/16
Radcliffe Swimming & Polo Club	Senior water polo goals	£ 750.00	£ 750.00	30/08/16
Speakeasy	Leaflets to inform people with Aphasia	£ 750.00	£ 750.00	30/08/16

Bury Voluntary Rangers	various tools for maintenance	£ 500.00	£ 500.00	30/08/16
Victoria Comm & Youth Centre	Rental of centre & football net	£ 750.00	£ 400.00	30/08/16
Hard of Hearing Support Group	tutors to provide support	£ 480.00	£ 380.00	24/01/2017
Bury Fairtrade Steering Group	promote Fairtrade during Fairtrade fortnight	£ 500.00	£ 100.00	24/01/2017
Communic8te	Equip IT centre windows 10 and earphones	£ 750.00	£ 600.00	24/01/2017
		£ 10,100.00	£ 8000.00	
		Available	<i>nil</i>	